

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,670	10/31/2003	Ruth E. Leibig	2003P12088US	- 3568
75	90 09/14/2005		EXAM	INER ·
Siemens Corporation			JAWORSKI, FRANCIS J	
Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator Intellectual Property Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
170 Wood Avenue South			3737	
Iselin, NJ 08830			DATE MAIL ED. 00/14/2005	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Sp		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/698,670	LEIBIG ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jaworski Francis J.	3737			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ross		
THE REPLY FILED <u>08122005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLIC			ress		
1. ☐ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o			andonment of		
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of		a final rainction, whichou	aria latar In no		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	e final rejection, whicheve f the final rejection.	er is later. In no		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	. ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ?).	RST REPLY WAS FILE			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any end of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.		
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			•		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	ow);		41		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			, the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))). 121 See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	+ (PTOL -324)		
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		omphant Amenamen	((TOE-02-1).		
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed amendment(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s).)	vill be entered and an	explanation of		
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allow	ance because:		

13. Other: __

See Continuation Sheet.

Francis J. Jaworski Primary Examiner

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Examiner is adhering to arguments set forth in the Final rejection 6/6/05.